

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/531,605	STEER, WILLIAM A	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ROBERT R. RAINY.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Dicran Halagian.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 November 2009

**Time:** 2:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

1,8,10,15

Prior art documents discussed:

Akimoto

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. R. R./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Fig. 4 of instant application to ensure congruent understanding of the claimed invention, in particular regarding avoidance of the linear operating region and the way that this is accomplished by Akimoto versus they way this is accomplished by the claimed invention...